please add some here before the 15th April.
I cannot go on the N.W. coast yet now as I expected the place of survey would have been given to D. Kennely— who will no doubt be glad to make any observations on the botany you may suggest, though I do not think he has paid much attention to the subject.
There is still likely to be some delay in printing the history of the N.W. P.R. Survey. It is known, that Prestel never received the drawings of plants to be engraved, but it is understood that they are at the office of Capt. Humphreys. Very Respectfully,
Prof. Gray

Prof. Gray

Dear Sir,

Notes of April 14th were forwarded to one here, and directed soon after the packing of a few. I now send you a specimen of the plant you desired to see. Having seen one specimen of Viola cistii, it I could spare only a fragment which I hope may serve for identification.

Besides the other marked in the list which Preston have put in a few which I thought might interest you viz. Spec. glaberrima with male flowers only (probably dioecious) I did not find the fertile Delphinium Aurea in the star of Three, with perennial tuberous roots.
Dr. Forrey's Lathyrus? I believe you will see it quite different from your Osolino Lathyrus.

With regard to your small fragment of Lathyrus, found with it—the Bulbus is quite distinct from "macropetalum," apparently, but is not cyanus. The Thunbergianly calls it B. trivialis Rich. and observes that it came very late; it occurs in Sonoma. The flowers are not sunflower; a new species indeed.

I have not found trivialis attached to the eastern coast. I send a small specimen of what I took for Asphodelus, will you, or would like to have one whether it is the Phelippea Californica?

Also an uncommon small variety of Calandrinia Menjivarii.

If you have any remarks on these plants for the Botanical Report.